

DO NEW QUANTUM STATISTICS EXIST IN NATURE?

..

T.D. Palev¹

¹Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract. We recall the definitions of a Wigner quantum system (WQS) and of A –, (resp B –, C – and D –) quantum (super)statistics. We outline shortly the relation of these new statistics to the classes A , (resp B , C and D) of Lie (super)algebras. We describe in some more details some of the properties of A –oscillator and A –superoscillator. Both of them fall into the category of finite quantum systems and both of them have quite unusual properties. For example let \hat{R} and \hat{P} be the position and the momentum operators of an 3D A – superoscillator along the x (or y or z) axes. Then contrary to the canonical uncertainty relations the product of the standard deviations of \hat{R} and \hat{P} of the oscillating particle reads:

$$\Delta\hat{R}.\Delta\hat{P} \leq \hbar/2.$$

i.e., the inequality above \leq is just the opposite to the one that appears in the canonical quantum mechanics.

1 Introduction. Wigner Quantum Systems

In [1] we have shown that the canonical quantum statistics, i.e., the Bose and the Fermi statistics, can be considerably generalized if one abandons the requirement that the commutators or the anticommutators between the fields in quantum field theory (QFT) or the commutators between the position and the momentum operators in quantum mechanics (QM) to be c –numbers.

The purpose of the present paper is to outline shortly where the idea (we refer to it as to *the main idea*) for a possible generalization of quantum statistics came from, and to list as an illustration some of the unusual predictions of the new statistics, mainly of A –(super)statistics. Throughout we skip the proofs of most of the propositions, which will considerably simplify the exposition.

Chronologically Wigner was the first, who, back in 1950, made a decisive step towards generalization of quantum statistics in quantum mechanics [2]. In order to indicate where this possibility came from, we first recall the axioms of quantum mechanics as given by Dirac [3]

- A1. To every state of the system there corresponds a normed to 1 wave function Ψ .
- A2. To every physical observable L there corresponds a selfadjoint operator \hat{L} .
- A3. The observable L can take only those values, which are eigenvalues of \hat{L} .

A4. The expectation value L_Ψ of L in the state Ψ is $\langle \Psi | \hat{L} | \Psi \rangle$.

A5. The Heisenberg equations in the Heisenberg picture hold:

$$\dot{\hat{p}}_k = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{p}_k, H], \quad \dot{\hat{q}}_k = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{q}_k, H]. \quad (1)$$

A6. The canonical commutation relations (CCR's) hold:

$$[\hat{q}_j, \hat{p}_k] = i\hbar\delta_{jk}, \quad [\hat{q}_j, \hat{q}_k] = [\hat{p}_j, \hat{p}_k] = 0. \quad (2)$$

A key outcome from the above axioms and especially from A5 and A6 are the following consequences:

C1. The (operator) equations of motion (the Hamiltonian equations) hold too,

$$\dot{\hat{p}}_k = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \hat{q}_k}, \quad \dot{\hat{q}}_k = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \hat{p}_k}. \quad (3)$$

C2. From the CCR A6 and the equations of motion C1 one derives the Heisenberg equations C2.

At this place let us ask a question: can some of the axioms A1-A6 be removed or modified or replaced or be weakened somehow?

Clearly no one from the first four axioms can be removed without changing the very essence of QM. For the same reason one cannot touch A5, since in the Schroedinger representation this axiom leads to the Schroedinger equation! It is also clear that the equations of motion (C1) have to hold in any case, since they are responsible for the correct classical limit.

What is left? It remains to analyze axiom A6. The first impression is that the axiom A6 has to remain too, since then also the Hamiltonian equations C1 hold. The more precise statement is however that the CCR's are sufficient in order C1 to be fulfilled. Are the CCR's also necessary? This as a question asked by Wigner [2]. And the answer was "NO"! The CCR's are not necessary in order the equation of motion C1 to hold! Wigner proved this [2] on an example of one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Unknown were the position and the momentum operators. Parallel to the canonical solution Wigner found infinitely many other solutions, which also satisfied A5 and C1, but not A6.

Having observed this Wigner remarked that from a physical point of view the Heisenberg equation and the Hamiltonian equations have a more direct physical significance than the CCR's. Therefore it is justified to postulate from the very beginning that the equations C1 hold instead of the CCRs. Based on all this we introduce

Definition 1. A quantum system subject to axioms A1-A5 and C1 is said to be a Wigner Quantum System (WQS). A WQS is noncanonical if it does not satisfy A6, i.e. the canonical commutation relations.

This definition was introduced for the first time 1982 in [4].

The statistics of canonical quantum mechanics is "hidden" in axiom A6, since the related creation and the annihilation operators (CAOs)

$$b_i^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_k - ip_k), \quad \text{and} \quad b_i^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_k + ip_k) \quad (4)$$

DO NEW QUANTUM STATISTICS EXIST IN NATURE?

obey the Bose commutation relations:

$$[b_i^-, b_j^+] = \delta_{ij}, \quad [b_i^-, b_j^-] = [b_i^+, b_j^+] = 0. \quad (5)$$

Since the left hand sites of A5 and C1 coincide, their right hand sites have to coincide too. Therefore the definition of a WQS is selfconsistent if *the main quantization condition* holds, namely:

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{p}_k, H] = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \hat{q}_k} \quad (6a)$$

$$-\frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{q}_k, H] = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \hat{p}_k} \quad (6b)$$

These two equations actually correspond to the quantization in QM. Note that they depend on the Hamiltonian. In fact we know that these equations have a solution for Hamiltonians, corresponding to harmonic oscillators. What are the admissible Hamiltonians in the general case is an open question. This is hardly a surprise. In quantum field theory the harmonic oscillator interactions correspond to free fields interactions and so far one knows how to quantize rigorously only free fields.

B-statistics

The next big step towards generalization of quantum statistics is due to Green [5], who in 1953 discovered the paraFermi (pF) and the paraBose (pB) statistics as new possible statistics in the free quantum field theory (QFT). In this more general setting the Fermi anticommutation relations were replaced by the more general double commutation relations for paraFermi CAO's, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} [[f_i^+, f_j^-], f_k^+] &= 2\delta_{jk} f_i^+, \\ [[f_i^+, f_j^-], f_k^-] &= -2\delta_{ik} f_j^-, \\ [[f_i^+, f_j^+], f_k^+] &= [[f_i^-, f_j^-], f_k^-] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Similarly the Bose commutation relations were replaced by similar relations, but part of the commutation relations have to be replaced by anticommutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\{b_i^+, b_j^-\}, b_k^+] &= 2\delta_{jk} b_i^+, \\ [\{b_i^+, b_j^-\}, b_k^-] &= -2\delta_{ik} b_j^-, \\ [\{b_i^+, b_j^+\}, b_k^+] &= [\{b_i^-, b_j^-\}, b_k^-] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From the above triple relations there comes for the first time an indication about possible connection between quantum statistics and Lie (super)algebras [6].

Indeed, it is almost evident from (7) that the linear envelope

$$\text{lin.env.}\{f_i^\xi, [f_j^\eta, f_k^\epsilon] \mid i, j, k = 1, \dots, n, \xi, \eta, \epsilon = +, -\} \quad (9)$$

of all CAOs and their commutators is a Lie algebra(LA). It takes some time to prove that this LA is the algebra of the orthogonal group $so(2n + 1)$ denoted also as B_n , if in (7) $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, the parafermi quantization corresponds to a case when any n pairs of position and the momentum operators are elements from the Lie algebra B_n and they generate B_n . For this reason it is natural to call the paraFemi statistics also B -statistics. The circumstance that the paraFermi operators have to satisfy the triple relations (7) means actually that these operators determine a representation of $so(2n + 1)$.

Definition 2. *Let W be a WQS. If its position and momentum operators (PMO's) generate (a representation of) a Lie algebra G , then the corresponding quantum system is said to be a G -quantum system, the related quantization - a G -quantization and the related statistics a G -statistics.*

In the very definition of paraFermi statistics it is required that the representations are of Fock type [5]. This is achieved from the requirement that the state space contains a vacuum vector, so that

$$f_i^- f_j^+ |0\rangle = \delta_{ij} p |0\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where p is a positive integer. The number p is said to be *an order of statistics* [7]. It labels the different representations. The representations corresponding to different p are inequivalent. The pF statistics with an order of statistics $p = 1$ is the Fermi statistics.

As mentioned above the order of statistics is a positive integer. The representations with noninteger order of statistics do also exist and in certain cases could be of interest too [8]

The transformations of the Fock space under the action of the paraFermi CAO's is not simple and is in fact very difficult task. For more details we refer to [9]. The main difficulty stems from the observations that the paraFermi creation operators do not commute with each other. In view of this the very definition of the Fock space and its interpretation is nontrivial [5], [9].

Looking into some more mathematical books on Lie algebras, we learn that the algebra B_n belongs to the class B of the only four infinite classes of simple LA A, B, C and D .

Based on the above observations we draw the following

Conclusion 1. *The paraFermi quantization corresponds to quantization with position and momentum operators (or to the related creation and annihilation operators) which generate a Lie algebra from the class B .*

For this reason we give

Definition 3. *We call the paraFermi statistics also a B -statistics and the related quantization a B -quantization.*

The above conclusion rises an immediate

DO NEW QUANTUM STATISTICS EXIST IN NATURE?

Question 1. *Do there exist quantum statistics with position and momentum operators (or creation and annihilation operators) ,which generate Lie algebras from the classes A, C or D.*

A positive answer to the above question was given in [1].

Definition 4. *The statistics, which position and momentum operators (or creation and annihilation operators) generate Lie algebras from the classes A, C or D are called A–, C– or D–statistics, respectively.*

Let us now turn and analyze shortly the algebraic structure of paraBose (pB) operators. The defining relations for pF and pB operators (7) and (8) look pretty similar apart from the circumstance that part of the commutators in (7) are replaced by anticommutators in (8). This difference however turns to be essential. It is clear what we are searching for. We have to find all operators (8), i.e., we have to determine all representations of the relations (8) and then select those of them, which obey the restrictions of QM. How to determine the representations? The idea is to reduce the unknown problem to a more or less known one, similar as we did for paraFermi operators. To this end we first introduce appropriate terminology and notation.

To begin with we define two subspaces, called an even one B_0 and an odd one B_1 , namely

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &= \text{lin.env.}\{\{b_i^\pm, b_j^\pm\} | i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \} \\ B_1 &= \text{lin.env.}\{b_k^\pm | k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let \mathbf{B}_n be their direct sum (in the sense of linear spaces)

$$\mathbf{B}_n = B_0 \oplus B_1. \quad (12)$$

The elements from B_0 (resp B_1) are also said to be *even* (resp *odd*) *elements*. Define a supercommutator $\llbracket x, y \rrbracket$ on \mathbf{B}_n , setting

$$\llbracket x, y \rrbracket = \{x, y\}, \text{ if } x, y \text{ are both odd,} \quad (13)$$

$$\llbracket x, y \rrbracket = [x, y], \text{ if } x \text{ or } y \text{ or both are even.} \quad (14)$$

and extend the above relations to every two elements by linearity. Then by definition \mathbf{B}_n is a Lie superalgebra (LS) and the problem to determine all paraBose operators reduces to the task to determine the representations of the LS \mathbf{B}_n .

Here we had luck! Without going into the details, because we do not need them, we mention that \mathbf{B}_n belongs to the class \mathbf{B} of basic Lie superalgebras [10] and therefore the paraBose statistics can be called \mathbf{B} – *superstatistics*. There are four classes of basic Lie superalgebras in the classification of Kac [10], namely the classes \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{D} . Hence one can ask whether it is possible to associate creation and annihilation operators with each class of basic LS's and talk about \mathbf{A} –, \mathbf{B} –, \mathbf{C} – and \mathbf{D} – *statistics*, respectively

Finally, each Lie algebra is a Lie superalgebra with only even elements. Moreover it turns out that each class of simple Lie algebras is a subset of the corresponding class of basic Lie superalgebras, namely

$$A \subset \mathbf{A}, B \subset \mathbf{B}, C \subset \mathbf{C}, D \subset \mathbf{D}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore, it is possible to unify the Lie algebra case with Lie superalgebra one, setting:

Question 2. *Do there exist quantum statistics with position and momentum operators (or creation and annihilation operators), which generate Lie (super)algebras from the classes \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} , \mathbf{C} , or \mathbf{D} ?*

The answer to the above question is positive, but not complete. So far we have studied in more details the statistics, corresponding to the class A Lie algebras and Lie superalgebras. Let us say some more words about \mathbf{A} -(super)statistics.

3 \mathbf{A} -statistics

The CAO's of A -statistics satisfy the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [[a_i^+, a_j^-], a_k^+] &= \delta_{jk} a_i^+ + \delta_{ij} a_k^+, \\ [[a_i^+, a_j^-], a_k^-] &= -\delta_{ik} a_j^- - \delta_{ij} a_k^-, \\ [a_i^+, a_j^+] &= [a_i^-, a_j^-] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Here we review shortly some of the properties of these CAO's and of their Fock representations.

The first remarkable property of A -statistics is that the creation operators commute with each other. This simplifies greatly all computations of A statistics and in particular the construction and the interpretation of the Fock space.

As indicated, the name A -statistics comes to remind that the operators a_1^\pm, \dots, a_n^\pm (and more generally any n different pairs of A -CAO's) generate a Lie algebra $A_n \equiv sl(n+1)$ from the class \mathcal{A} . In particular a set of $sl(n+1)$ generators, which constitute a linear basis in the underlying linear space, can be taken to be ($i \neq j = 1, \dots, n$):

$$e_{i0} = a_i^+, e_{0i} = a_i^-, e_{ii} - e_{00} = [a_i^+, a_i^-], e_{ij} = [a_i^+, a_j^-]. \quad (17)$$

Above $\{e_{ab} | a, b = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ are generators of $gl(n+1)$:

$$[e_{ab}, e_{cd}] = \delta_{cb} e_{ad} - \delta_{ad} e_{cb}. \quad (18)$$

By definition each Fock space [11] is an irreducible $sl(n+1)$ -module, which satisfies certain natural for physics requirements, namely the metric in each Fock space W is positive definite, W contains an unique vector $|0\rangle$, called

DO NEW QUANTUM STATISTICS EXIST IN NATURE?

a vacuum, so that $a_i^-|0\rangle = 0$ and the Hermitian conjugate to a_k^- is a_k^+ (for any integer k), i.e., $(a_k^+)^{\dagger} = a_k^-$. It is proved [11] that the irreducible inequivalent Fock spaces W_p are labeled by all positive integers $p = 1, 2, \dots$, the order of statistics. Each Fock space W_p is a finite-dimensional irreducible state space. All vectors

$$|p; l_1, \dots, l_n\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(p - \sum_{j=1}^n l_j)!}{p! l_1! l_2! \dots l_n!}} (a_1^+)^{l_1} \dots (a_n^+)^{l_n} |0\rangle, \quad (19)$$

subject to the condition $l_1 + \dots + l_n \leq p$ constitute an orthonormal basis in W_p . The transformations of the basis (19) under the action of the CAOs read:

$$a_i^+ |p; l_1, \dots, l_i, \dots, l_n\rangle = \sqrt{(l_i + 1)(p - \sum_{j=1}^n l_j)} |p; \dots, l_i + 1, \dots, l_n\rangle, \quad (20a)$$

$$a_i^- |p; l_1, \dots, l_i, \dots, l_n\rangle = \sqrt{l_i(p - \sum_{j=1}^n l_j + 1)} |p; l_1, \dots, l_i - 1, \dots, l_n\rangle. \quad (20b)$$

In a consistent with (18) way we extend W_p to an irreducible $gl(n+1)$ -module, setting for the central element

$$e_{00} + e_{11} + \dots + e_{nn} = p. \quad (21)$$

Then

$$e_{00} |p; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\rangle = (p - \sum_{i=1}^n l_i) |p; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\rangle, \quad (22)$$

$$e_{ii} |p; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\rangle = l_i |p; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\rangle, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (23)$$

The operators $e_{00}, e_{11}, \dots, e_{nn}$ commute. From (23) we conclude that e_{ii} can be interpreted as a number operator for the particles on the orbital i . Then $|p; l_1, \dots, l_{i-1}, l_i, l_{i+1}, \dots, l_n\rangle$ is a state containing l_1 particles on orbital 1, l_2 particles on orbital 2, and so on, l_n particles on orbital n . Note that for a given p ,

$$(a_1^+)^{l_1} \dots (a_n^+)^{l_n} |0\rangle = 0, \quad \text{if } l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_n > p. \quad (24)$$

One immediate conclusion from (24) is evident:

Corrolary A. *For any p the state space W_p is a finite-dimensional linear space.*

The second conclusion from (24) is actually the

Pauli principle for A -statistics: *If the order of statistics is p , then each basis state $|p; l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n\rangle$ from W_p corresponds to $l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_n \leq p$ particles. There are no states with more than p particles in W_p .*

This issue holds certainly only in a particle interpretation of the picture.

Another relevant property of A -statistics is that in the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ the operators

$$b(p)_k^\pm = a(p)_k^\pm / \sqrt{p}, \quad (25)$$

are becoming ordinary bosons.

We will not try to interpret a system of A particles as a WQS. From what we have stated above it is clear that the particles of A -statistics can be interpreted perfectly well as quasiparticles. In the next example however we will show how a real 3D harmonic oscillator can be quantized with A -superstatistics into a WQS with very unusual for QM properties.

4 A-superstatistics

Here we shall indicate how one can quantize a 3D harmonic oscillator based on A -superstatistics and more precisely with the CAO's of the LS $sl(1|3)$.

The creation and the annihilation operators of A -superstatistics, and more precisely of $sl(1|n)$ (with $i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ bellow) read:

$$[\{a_i^+, a_j^-\}, a_k^+] = \delta_{jk} a_i^+ - \delta_{ij} a_k^+, \quad (26a)$$

$$[\{a_i^+, a_j^-\}, a_k^-] = -\delta_{ik} a_j^- + \delta_{ij} a_k^-, \quad (26b),$$

$$\{a_i^+, a_j^+\} = \{a_i^-, a_j^-\} = 0, \quad (26c)$$

The linear span of all CAO's yields the odd subspace and the linear combinations of all of their anticommutators is the even subspace. In the case $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ the CAO's generate the LS $sl(1|3)$.

The Fock representations, which we consider, are determined from the requirements that there exists a vacuum vector $|0\rangle$, so that

$$a_i^- |0\rangle = 0, \quad a_i^- a_j^+ |0\rangle = \delta_{ij} p |0\rangle, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (27)$$

Moreover the hermiticity condition $(a_i^+)^* = a_i^-$ with $(*)$ being hermitian conjugation holds.

To each positive integer p , called *an order of statistics*, there corresponds an irreducible representation space $W(p)$. The representations corresponding to different p are inequivalent.

Let $\Theta \equiv \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ with $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$ for any $i = 1, 2, 3$. Define an orthonormal basis in $W(p)$:

$$|p, \Theta\rangle \equiv |p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(p-q)!}{p!}} (a_1^+)^{\theta_1} (a_2^+)^{\theta_2} (a_3^+)^{\theta_3} |0\rangle, \quad (28)$$

DO NEW QUANTUM STATISTICS EXIST IN NATURE?

where

$$0 \leq q \equiv \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \leq \min(p, 3), \quad \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 = 0, 1.$$

The transformations of the basis under the action of the CAO's reads:

$$a_i^- |p; \dots, \theta_i \dots\rangle = \theta_i (-1)^{\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_i} \sqrt{p - q + 1} |p; \dots, \theta_i - 1, \dots\rangle, \quad (29a)$$

$$a_i^+ |p; \dots, \theta_i \dots\rangle = (1 - \theta_i) (-1)^{\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_i} \sqrt{p - q} |p; \dots, \theta_i + 1, \dots\rangle. \quad (29b)$$

We proceed to show that any (3D) harmonic oscillator can be quantized with the CAO's of A -superstatistics defined above. This means that the physical observables related to the oscillator can be expressed via the CAO's of A -superstatistics, so that the oscillator will be a Wigner quantum system.

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \hat{\mathbf{R}}^2, \quad (30)$$

the equations of motion

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} = -m\omega^2 \hat{\mathbf{R}}, \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{R}}} = \frac{1}{m} \hat{\mathbf{P}} \quad (31)$$

and the Heisenberg equations

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \hat{\mathbf{P}}], \quad \dot{\hat{\mathbf{R}}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \hat{\mathbf{R}}] \quad (32)$$

are well known. For the compatibility equations we have

$$[H, \hat{\mathbf{P}}] = i\hbar m\omega^2 \hat{\mathbf{R}}, \quad [H, \hat{\mathbf{R}}] = -\frac{i\hbar}{m} \hat{\mathbf{P}}. \quad (33)$$

In order to express the Hamiltonian, the position and the momentum operators via CAO' we set:

$$a_k^\pm = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} R_k \pm i\sqrt{\frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar}} P_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (34)$$

Then the Hamiltonian and the compatibility relations read:

$$H = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \{a_i^+, a_i^-\} \quad (35)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 [\{a_i^+, a_i^-\}, a_k^\pm] = \mp 2a_k^\pm, \quad i, k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (36)$$

Observe that in the derivation of the above relations we have not used the triple relations (26). Taking now into account the triple relations we immediately see that the compatibility equations are satisfied. The solution of the Heisenberg and the Hamiltonian equations are:

$$\hat{R}(t)_k = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}(a_k^+ e^{-i\omega t} + a_k^- e^{i\omega t}), \quad (37)$$

$$\hat{P}(t)_k = -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}}(a_k^+ e^{-i\omega t} - a_k^- e^{i\omega t}), \quad (38)$$

From all stated above we conclude that the oscillator has solutions as a Wigner quantum system. Let us mention some of its properties.

On the first place, contrary to the canonical oscillator, each irreducible state space $W(p)$ has no more than four equally spaced energy levels with spacing $\omega\hbar$, more precisely,

$$H|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle = \frac{\omega\hbar}{2}(3p-2q)|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle, \quad q = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0, 1, \dots, \min(p, 3). \quad (39)$$

The next relation gives more information:

$$\frac{2}{\omega\hbar}H = \frac{2m\omega}{\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{R}}^2 = \frac{2}{m\omega\hbar}\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3\{a_i^+, a_i^-\} \quad (40)$$

Therefore H , $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2$ commute with each other. We say that the geometry is square commutative, but not commutative. In particular

$$\hat{\mathbf{R}}^2|p; \Theta\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}(3p-2q)|p; \Theta\rangle. \quad (41)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2|p; \Theta\rangle = \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}(3p-2q)|p; \Theta\rangle \quad (42)$$

Therefore if $p > 2$, then $\hat{\mathbf{R}}^2$ for instance can take no more then four different values. This means that the distance of the particle with respect to the center of the coordinate system is fixed and has no more than four values. One can be even more precise taking into account that all operators

$$H, \hat{\mathbf{R}}^2, \hat{\mathbf{P}}^2, \hat{R}_1^2, \hat{R}_2^2, \hat{R}_3^2, \hat{P}_1^2, \hat{P}_2^2, \hat{P}_3^2, \quad (43)$$

commute, which is not the case in canonical quantum mechanics. If the oscillator is in a state $|p; \Theta\rangle$ with $p > 2$, then

$$\hat{R}_i^2|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}(p-q+\theta_i)|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (44)$$

$$\hat{P}_i^2|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle = \frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}(p-q+\theta_i)|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (45)$$

The conclusion is that if the particle is in a state $|p; \Theta\rangle$, with $p > 2$, then at each measurement it can be spotted in no more than 8 different points of the (3D) space with coordinates along x, y, z axis in units $\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}$ as follows:

$$x = \pm\sqrt{p-q+\theta_1}, \quad y = \pm\sqrt{p-q+\theta_2}, \quad z = \pm\sqrt{p-q+\theta_3}. \quad (46)$$

DO NEW QUANTUM STATISTICS EXIST IN NATURE?

We resume the present exposition by pointing out that for the superoscillator under consideration the uncertainty relations are not fulfilled.

We begin the proof with the observation that if the oscillator is in any basis state $|p; \Theta\rangle$, then the average value of its coordinates and momenta vanish. i.e.

$$\bar{R}_i = 0, \bar{P}_i = 0, i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (47)$$

Let the oscillator be in a basis state $|p; \Theta\rangle$. In view of (47) the expression for the standard deviation becomes:

$$\sqrt{(|p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle, R_i^2 |p; \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\rangle)},$$

where (x, y) denotes the scalar product between the vectors x, y from the state space of the oscillator. Applying the result from (44), we obtain.

$$\Delta R_i = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}(p - q + \theta_i). \quad (48)$$

Similarly the expression for the standard deviation of the momentum operators read:

$$\Delta P_i = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}(p - q + \theta_i). \quad (49)$$

The Eqs. (48) and (49) imply that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}p \geq \Delta R_i \geq \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}}(p - 2), \quad (50)$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}p \geq \Delta P_i \geq \sqrt{\frac{m\omega\hbar}{2}}(p - 2). \quad (51)$$

Hence,

$$p\hbar/2 \geq \Delta R_i \cdot \Delta P_i \geq (p - 2)\hbar/2. \quad (52)$$

The above inequality incorporates the canonical kind uncertainty relation, since it put a restriction from below on $\Delta R_i \cdot \Delta P_i$. But it restricts also $\Delta R_i \cdot \Delta P_i$ from above, which is a new feature typical for the finite quantum systems.

5 Conclusions

We have indicated that from purely theoretical point of view there might exist new quantum statistics. The new statistics are selfconsistent, they lead to interesting predictions still to be investigated. The question however, formulated in the title, remains open.

References

- [1] T.D. Palev, Lie algebraical aspects of the quantum statistics. Habilitation Thesis (in Bulgarian): Inst.Nuclear Research and Nucl.Energy, Sofia, (1977).
- [2] E.P. Wigner, *Phys. Rev.* **77**, 711 (1950).
- [3] P. A.M. Dirac, The principles of quantum mechanics, Oxford University Press (1958).
- [4] A.H. Kamupingene, T.D. Palev, S.P. Tsaneva, *Journ. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986) 2067.
- [5] H.S. Green, *Phys. Rev.* **90** (1953) 270.
- [6] S. Kamefuchi and Y. Takahashi, *Nucl. Phys.* **36** (1962) 177; C. Ryan and E.C.G. Sudarshan, *Nucl. Phys.* **47** (1963) 207.
- [7] O.W. Greenberg and A.M.L. Messiah A M L *Phys. Rev. B* **138** 1965 1155-1167
- [8] T.D. Palev, An example of an application of parastatistics of non-integer order (in Russian). High energies and Elementary particles p.331 (Proceedings of a Symposium, Varna, (1974).
- [9] Ohnuki, Y., Kamefuchi, S.: Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics. Springer, Berlin (1982).
- [10] V. G. Kac Lie superalgebras *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977) 8-96.
- [11] T.D. Palev, Lie algebraical aspects of quantum statistics. Unitary quantization (A-quantization). Preprint JINR E17-10550 (1977) and hep-th/9705032.